

ANNEX 1: The BRIDGE Competence Framework for Language & Culture Animateurs

Introduction

BRIDGE will implement and evaluate a 'Language Clubs' program and supports language teachers to learn intercultural mediation skills – a community-based 'learning for inclusion' program - that engages marginalized and invisible long-term settled migrants in having a voice to add to their own and their community's social capital.

The specific objective of the project is, therefore, to promote integration, social inclusion and civic participation of long-settled migrants by developing the role of language teachers/volunteers for social inclusion. This objective will be realized through the 'Language & Culture Animateur' program.

What is the BRIDGE Competence Framework Language & Culture Animateurs^{for}

The 'Language & Culture Animateur'' can be thought of as a social and cultural mediator who leads language learning activities in informal settings and makes a bridge between long-settled migrants on the margins and other community actors and institutions. In order to achieve its aim, BRIDGE will develop a competence framework. This framework entails the redefinition of competences as it builds on intercultural competence framework complementing it with 'domain-specific' competences (intercultural mediation competences, required by language teachers and volunteers in general) and



'Community animation' competences (required to involve hard to reach long-settled migrants).

Who is it intended for?

Language teachers/volunteers, working with particularly 'hard to reach' long-settled migrants, functioning as *Language and Culture Animateurs* in this project.

What are its main aims?

The project aims at identifying competencies of the *Language and Culture Animateurs* and develop a tool to assess these competences. The structure of the framework and tool is linked to the BRIDGE Language & Culture Animateur Training Program.



Background

As stated in The Standards for Foreign Language Learning in the 21st Century, *Language and communication are at the heart of the human experience*. Learning other languages and understanding the culture of the people who speak to them is a 21st Century skill which is vital to work and live successfully in multicultural and plurilingual context of today's world. There is a consensus in the language teaching community regarding the goals of a language program. This new approach requires developing learners' communicative competence to maintain real life communication effectively. This is reflected by the five goals (the 5 Cs) of language learning in the current literature in the domain (Partnership for 21st Century Skills):

Communication: The ability to convey and receive messages based on the three modes of

communication; *interpersonal*; *interpretive*; and *presentational*. These modes necessarily reflect how people communicate in real life.

Culture: The teaching of a foreign language and its culture are inextricably intertwined. Learners need to understand the target culture through consciously learning about pertinent artefacts, practices, and perspectives of the people of that culture.

Connections: Learners who have access to the knowledge in variety of topics in the target language and have the opportunity to discuss these with the native speakers of that language develop an elevated sense of global awareness.

Comparisons: When learners are exposed to a new language and culture, they develop an understanding of their own language and culture. This enables them to attain an awareness of how language works and how cultures reflect the perspectives, practices, and artefacts of the people who speak that language.

Communities: Learning a foreign language is also being aware of the application of that language beyond the classroom setting. Establishing a learning community is essential to enable the learners experience with real-life situations.

Within the underlying principles of these five goals, BRIDGE project aims at designing:

- A Competence Framework for Language and Culture Animateurs
- A program to train interculturally competent Language and Culture Animateurs
 An English Club to build a community for long-settled immigrants

BY DOING SO...

Our Language and Culture Animateurs will be equipped with the qualifications including:

- Intercultural Communicative Competence
- Critical Knowledge and Understanding of Cultures
- Mediation Skills to Make Connections between Native and Other Cultures



• Leadership Skills to Raise Awareness of the Participants to Make Comparisons between

their Local Culture and the Culture of the Host Community

• Organizational Skills to Build a Community for Intercultural Exchanges



THE BRIDGE FRAMEWORK COMPETENCE DOMAINS

The BRIDGE Framework aims to describe the key competences required of Language and Culture Animateurs. The competence framework falls into six broad categories, namely, Intercultural Interaction Skills, Sensibility, Cultural Knowledge and Critical Cultural Awareness, Willingness, Adjustment Skills, and Intercultural Mediation and Leadership. These categories include three core elements as depicted in the following figure:



These Values and Attitudes are in the heart of all six domains of the BRIDGE Framework. For instance, Intercultural Interaction Skills entails *openness* and *respect*, as values and attitudes, leading to a recognition that people should listen to an engaging dialogue with those who are perceived to be different from themselves. The framework also includes Knowledge and Skills for six main areas. The descriptors of these areas are reflected in the following table.



TABLE 1. Descriptors of Main Competence Areas

Competence	Competence Definition
Area	
Intercultural Interaction Skills	Intercultural Interaction Skills include effective use of host country's language(s), understanding nonverbal language of people from other cultures, understanding cross- cultural communication styles and using appropriate strategies for reducing stress during intercultural interaction. This entails having a good base of communication with the capacity to deliver the message in the way that the speaker of the other language(s) could interpret while attributing the same meaning to reciprocal messages with minimal loss and distortion in intercultural contexts where different languages are spoken.
2. Sensibility	Sensibility refers to openness to other cultures, suspending stereotypes about other cultures, and appreciation of other cultures without relying on stocked ideas and perceptions with empathy for others' needs. This pertains willingness to accept otherness and cooperation between cultures by developing the ability to build positive attitudes and characteristics towards diversity.
Cultural	Knowledge of other cultures includes information such as the social norms and
Knowledge and Critical Cultural Awareness	taboos in other cultures, how other cultures might be varied in terms of race, class, gender, age and sexual orientation, and various social groups in other cultures. This should lead the awareness of how the reactions of other people might reflect their cultural perspectives, similarities and differences between cultures, reactions of other people to his/her identity, and the factors that might help or hinder his/her intercultural understanding.
4. Willingness	<i>Willingness</i> encompasses the motivation to get involved in intercultural interaction with other cultures and the readiness to expand the knowledge about other cultures and embrace the richness and variety of other cultures.
Adjustment Skills	<i>Adjust<mark>ment skills</mark></i> involve the self-evaluation of one's performance from other
	people's perspectives, and adjusting the behaviors, dresses or manners in the cultural contexts where it is required. This requires the perception and accommodation of culture in the widest possible sense, not limited to the national perspective
Intercultural	Intercultural mediation in the context of this project is defined as a methodology
Mediation and	which
Leadership	looks beyond the barriers of language, culture and politics in order to find a common understanding of the active intervention by stimulating active participation and empowering hard-to-reach groups. This requires an effective leadership quality to provide advice guidance and support. The definition of intercultural mediation in this sense includes the traditional meaning of conflict resolution, but also includes finding commonalities to promote community mediation between different cultures

in order to avoid conflict and promote integration.



Table 2 depicts the BRIDGE Framework Attainment Criteria for each dimension. These attainment criteria identify the practices and behaviors that BRIDGE Language and Culture Animateurs are supposed to be equipped with. For example, they are effective communicators in diverse multilingual environments. They use communication for a range of purposes, such as, to *inform, persuade,* and *motivate.* To realize this, Language and Culture Animateurs are able to identify and use materials to integrate key areas of intercultural differences (like *politeness, punctuality,* and *directness*) into their activities in their language clubs. As a further example, they work effectively in a *climate of ambiguity* and *changing priorities.* Hence, in a situation of

intercultural and interpersonal conflicts that may arise during in-club interactions, they successfully handle cultural issues by adapting to varied roles. Moreover, BRIDGE Language and Culture Animateurs are responsible leaders using their linguistic and cross-cultural skills to influence and guide others toward a goal. They are able and willing to consult learners and involve them in the planning process, prompting feedback, and adapting in response to the resulting needs.

The targeted skills for the BRIDGE Language and Culture Animateurs are displayed in Table 2 along with the corresponding dimensions.



TABLE 2. The BRIDGE Framework Attainment Criteria

Dimensions Attainment Criteria

Intercultural Using target language effectively in interaction; using strategies to overcome the Interaction Skills stress caused by a different culture; reducing stress during interaction; understanding the body language of others; understanding the cultural communication styles of others; socializing with others; perceiving the reactions of other people in an interaction; cooperating with others to accomplish tasks of mutual interests; building effective and appropriate communication with others.

2. Sensibility Toleration for different understandings of humor; taking one step back and

evaluating own actions; suspending negative judgment and prejudices regarding own and other cultures; making judgments without relying on own culture, values and standards; suspending the stereotypes away during the interaction.

Cultural Awareness of the reactions of other people that might reflect their cultural

Knowledge and perspectives; similarities and differences between own and other cultures; reactions Critical Cultural of other people to one's own social identity; the factors helping or hindering Awareness intercultural understanding; consequences of one's choices which would make

him/her more or less acceptable to other cultures; own personal values that might affect one's approach to ethical dilemmas and their resolutions. Using native

language in communication when needed; awareness of the social norms and taboos

in other cultures; of the variety regarding race, gender, sexual orientation in other cultures; of the various social groups in own and other cultures.

4. Willingness For expanding knowledge about own and other cultures; motivation towards learning about other cultures; curiosity and openness to other cultures.

Adjustment Skills Evaluation of oneself from other people's perspectives; adjusting dress and

behaviors as appropriate to avoid offending other people; accepting other people when they perform practices that might discomfort one.

Intercultural Leadership by "*mediating*" as a tool of synthesis between various identities, cultural, Mediation and religious and ethnic components; and by acting at both individual and collective level Leadership on the basis of a deep knowledge of all the diverse backgrounds involved.

Intercultural mediators actively intervene in social dialogue by cooperating marginalized and hard-to-reach groups

successfully in teams.



THE BRIDGE COMPETENCES SELF-ASSESSMENT TOOL

Introduction

The content of the BRIDGE Competence Framework is grounded in an analysis of existing conceptual schemes of competence models: Intercultural Communicative Competence (ICC) (Byram, 1997; Başol, 2017), Common European Framework of Reference for Languages: Learning, teaching, assessment (CEFR) (Council of Europe, 2001), Competences for Democratic Culture (Council of Europe, 2016), European Profile for Language Teacher Education – A Frame of Reference (Kelly et al, 2004), 21st Century skills (Partnership for 21st Century Skills, 2001) and The Equals Framework for Language Teacher Training & Development (Evaluation and Accreditation of Quality in Language Services (Equals, 2016). The BRIDGE Competence Framework proposed in this document is an attempt to provide an account which, synthesizes and builds upon the existing competence models by taking into account the required qualifications of a new professional profile proposed in BRIDGE Project, Language & Culture Animateur.

In the development of the framework and the resulting assessment tool, firstly, competence

schemes which describe relevant sets of values, attitudes, skills, knowledge and understanding that need to be attained by language & culture animateurs in order to respond appropriately and effectively to the demands, challenges and opportunities presented by intercultural situations were identified. Second, the competences that describe the required qualifications were collated and classified into six main domains: the first five provide descriptions of intercultural competence in general and the last includes more specialized competences that are required by language & culture animateurs. Third, the competences included in the framework were developed into "can do" statements to be used as a self-assessment instrument. The first draft of the framework and the assessment tool were then circulated among project partners for feedback and comments for clarity, comprehensiveness, and contextual relevance. The feedback received in this consultation process was used to fine-tune the details of the framework and the assessment tool.

The following assessment tool was constructed as a self-reporting instrument. It includes 40 items designed as can-do statements, which are meant to evaluate knowledge/understanding, skills, values/attitudes and awareness related to intercultural mediation skills. The assessment tool addresses the individual constituents of the BRIDGE Framework in particular as it includes statements describing the six dimensions of the competence model. Thus, it holistically evaluates the competences which describes the qualifications of language & culture animateurs whose role is promoting integration, social inclusion and civic participation of long-settled migrants. The purpose of the "can do statements" is to present information about what one has accomplished and what one still needs to develop. Thus, the assessment tool enables teachers/volunteers to assess and map their competence levels on the key competences needed to provide effective and high-quality service to the marginalized groups and then, set their next learning goal accordingly in their process of skill development.



THE BRIDGE COMPETENCES SELF-ASSESSMENT TOOL

PLEASE RATE EACH ITEM BELOW BETWIEEN AND BY PUTTING (XI) IN THE SENTENCE DOES NOT DESCRIBE ME AT ALL", AND 7 AS "THE SENTENCE EXACTLY DESCRIBES MEHERE ARE 35 ITEMS IN THIS SCALE.

1. I CAN USE THE TARGET LANGUAGE EFFECTIVELY IN AN INTERACTION WITH OTHERS FROM DIFFERENT CULTURAL BACKGROUNDS. 1 2 3 4 5 6 7

	•	_	•	•	•	0	•	
DOES NOT DESCRIBE ME AT ALL								ALL ME

2. I CAN USE STRATEGIES TO OVERCOME THE STRESS CAUSED BY A DIFFERENT CULTURE 1234567

	•	2	5	5	0	'	
DOES NOT DESCRIBE ME ATALL							EXACTLY DESCRIBES

3. I CAN USE APPROPRIATE STRATEGIES FOR REDUCING MY STRESS DURING AN INTERCULTURAL INTERACTION.

	1	2	5	4	5	0	/	
DOES NOT DESCRIBE ME AT ALL								EXACTLY DESCRIBES

4. I CAN UNDERSTAND THE BODY LANGUAGE OF THE SPEAKERS OF OTHER CULTURES.

	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	
DOES NOT DESCRIBE ME								EXACTLY DESCRIBES ALL ME

5. I CAN UNDERSTAND HOW THE MEMBERS OF OTHER CULTURES REFLECT THEIR CULTURAL COMMUNICATION STYLES DURING AN INTERACTION (E.G. COURTESY CONVENTIONS AND PROTOCOLS, TURN TAKING ETC). 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 DOES NOT DESCRIBE MEL

	AT ALL					ALL ME
6.	I CAN SOCIALIZE WITH OTHER PEOPLE EASILY	3	4	5	6	7
	DOES NOT DESCRIBE ME					EXACTLY DESCRIBES



7. I CAN PERCEIVE THE REACTIONS OF THE PEOPLE AROUND ME EASILY IN AN INTERCULTURAL INTERACTION .

	2	3	4	5	6	'/	
DOES NOT DESCRIBE ME AT ALL							EXACTLY DESCRIBES

8. | CAN COOPERATE WITH OTHER PEOPLE TO ACCOMPLISH TASKS OF MUTUAL I

	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	
DOES NOT DESCRIBE M AT ALL	E							EXACTLY DESCRIBES

9. I CAN COMMUNICATE EFFECTIVELY AND APPROPRIATELY WHEN I AM WITH THE INDIVIDUALS FROM OTHER CULTURES.

	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	
DOES NOT DESCRIBE ME AT ALL								EXACTLY DESCRIBES ALL ME

10. I AM AWARE THAT THE REACTIONS OF THE MEMBERS OF OTHER CULTURES MIGHT REFLECT THEIR CULTURAL VALUES.

	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	
DOES NOT DESCRIBE M AT ALL	-							EXACTLY DESCRIBES



11. I	AM AWARE OF THE SIMILARITIES AND DIFFERENCES BETWEEN MY OWN AND OTHER CULTURES AND
LANGUAGES.	

	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	
DOES NOT DESCRIBE ME AT ALL								EXACTLY DESCRIBES

12. I AM AWARE OF THE REACTIONS OF THE PEOPLE FROM OTHER CULTURES TO MY SOCIAL, IDENTITY (E.GRACE CLASS, GENDER, AGE, SEXUAL ORIENTATION).

	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	
DOES NOT DESCRIBE ME AT <u>ALL</u>								EXACTLY DESCRIBES

13. IAM AWARE OF THE FACTORS THAT HELP OR HINDER (BLOCK) MY INTERCULTURAL UNDERSTANDING.

	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	
DOES NOT DESCRIBE ME AT ALL								EXACTLY DESCRIBES

14. IAM AWARE OF THE CONSEQUENCES OF MY CHOICES WHICH WOULD MAKE ME MORE OR LESS ACCEPTABLE TO THE PEOPLE OF OTHER CULTURES.

		1	2	3	4	5	6	7	
DOES NOT DESCRIBE	ME								EXACTLY DESCRIBES ALL ME

15. IAM AWARE OF MY PERSONAL VALUES WHICH WOULD AFFECT MY APPROACH TO ETHICAL DILEMMAS AND THEIR RESOLUTIONS.

	2	3	4	5	6	'/
DOES NOT DESCRIBE ME						EXACTLY DESCRIBES

16. ICAN USE MY NATIVE LANGUAGE EFFECTIVELY IN AN INTERACTION WITH OTHERS FROM DIFFERENT CULTURAL BACKGROUNDS.

	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	
DOES NOT DESCRIBE ME						1		EXACTLY DESCRIBES

17. | AM AWARE OF THE SOCIAL NORMS AND TABOOS IN OTHER CULTURES

100 million (100 m		1	2	3	4	5	6	7	
DOES NOT DESCRIBE AT ALL	ME								EXACTLY DESCRIBES

18. I AM AWARE THAT OTHER CULTURES MIGHT BE VARIED IN TERMS OF RACE, CLASS, GENDER,

AGE, AND SEXUAL ORIENTATION	etc. 2	3	4	5	6	7	
DOES NOT DESCRIBE ME AT ALL							EXACTLY DESCRIBES ALL ME

19. I AM AWARE THAT THERE ARE VARIOUS SOCIAL GROUPS IN OTHER CULTURES

	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	
DOES NOT DESCRIBE ME								EXACTLY DESCRIBES

.



DOES NOT DESCRIBE ME AT ALL		$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}$	3	4				EXACTLY DESCRIBES ALL ME
M WILLING TO EXPAND MY KNOWLE							I	
	1 1	2	3	4	5	6	7	
DOES NOT DESCRIBE ME A	Γ	Ē.					Í	EXACTLY DESCRIBES
ALL								ME
M WILLING TO EXPAND MY K	NON	VLEDO				-		JRE
OES NOT DESCRIBE ME AT			3	4	5	6	1	EXACTLY DESCRIBES
								ME
AM MOTIVATED TOWARDS LEARNING	S ABOI	JT OTH	ER CUL	TURES				
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	
DOES NOT DESCRIBE ME AT ALL								EXACTLY DESCRIBES ALL ME
AM CURIOUS AND OPEN TO I						<u>ын т</u> і		
AM CURIOUS AND OPEN TO I	LEAR	INIING .	ABUU	лоп		JULIU	JKE2	
								(
THE WORLD, IDEAS, AND EXP	ERIEN 1	ICES). 2	3	4	5	6	7	(,,,,
DOES NOT DESCRIBE ME		ices). 2	3	4	5	6	7	EXACTLY DESCRIBES
DOES NOT DESCRIBE ME AT ALL		2						
DOES NOT DESCRIBE ME AT ALL AN EVALUATE MYSELF FROM DOES NOT DESCRIBE ME	т отні 1	2						EXACTLY DESCRIBES ALL ME EXACTLY DESCRIBES
DOES NOT DESCRIBE ME AT ALL	т отні 1		OPLES	S PER	SPEC	TIVES	;	EXACTLY DESCRIBES ALL ME
DOES NOT DESCRIBE ME AT ALL AN EVALUATE MYSELF FROM DOES NOT DESCRIBE ME AT ALL	OTHE 1	Z ER PEC 2	OPLES 3	S PER	SPEC 5	TIVES 6	7	EXACTLY DESCRIBES ALL ME EXACTLY DESCRIBES ALL ME
DOES NOT DESCRIBE ME AT ALL AN EVALUATE MYSELF FROM DOES NOT DESCRIBE ME	OTHE 1	Z ER PEC 2	OPLES 3		SPEC 5		7 NG TI	EXACTLY DESCRIBES ALL ME EXACTLY DESCRIBES ALL ME
DOES NOT DESCRIBE ME AT ALL AN EVALUATE MYSELF FROM DOES NOT DESCRIBE ME AT ALL	OTHE 1	Z ER PEC 2	OPLES 3	S PER	SPEC 5	TIVES 6	7	EXACTLY DESCRIBES ALL ME EXACTLY DESCRIBES ALL ME
DOES NOT DESCRIBE ME AT ALL AN EVALUATE MYSELF FROM DOES NOT DESCRIBE ME AT ALL AN ADJUST MY DRESS AS AP	OTHE 1	Z ER PEC 2	OPLES 3		SPEC 5		7 NG TI	EXACTLY DESCRIBES ALL ME EXACTLY DESCRIBES ALL ME HE MEMBERS OF OTHER O
DOES NOT DESCRIBE ME AT ALL AN EVALUATE MYSELF FROM DOES NOT DESCRIBE ME AT ALL AN ADJUST MY DRESS AS AP DOES NOT DESCRIBE ME					SPEC 5 OCFFI			EXACTLY DESCRIBES ALL ME EXACTLY DESCRIBES ALL ME HE MEMBERS OF OTHER OF EXACTLY DESCRIBES ALL ME
DOES NOT DESCRIBE ME AT ALL AN EVALUATE MYSELF FROM DOES NOT DESCRIBE ME AT ALL AN ADJUST MY DRESS AS AP DOES NOT DESCRIBE ME AT ALL					SPEC 5 OOFF			EXACTLY DESCRIBES ALL ME EXACTLY DESCRIBES ALL ME HE MEMBERS OF OTHER OF EXACTLY DESCRIBES ALL ME
DOES NOT DESCRIBE ME AT ALL AN EVALUATE MYSELF FROM DOES NOT DESCRIBE ME AT ALL AN ADJUST MY DRESS AS AP DOES NOT DESCRIBE ME AT ALL AN ADJUST MY BEHAVIORS A ULTURES . DOES NOT DESCRIBE ME					SPEC 5 OCFFI			EXACTLY DESCRIBES ALL ME EXACTLY DESCRIBES ALL ME HE MEMBERS OF OTHER O EXACTLY DESCRIBES ALL ME NG THE MEMBERS OF OTH EXACTLY DESCRIBES
DOES NOT DESCRIBE ME AT ALL AN EVALUATE MYSELF FROM DOES NOT DESCRIBE ME AT ALL AN ADJUST MY DRESS AS AP DOES NOT DESCRIBE ME AT ALL AN ADJUST MY BEHAVIORS A ULTURES .					SPEC 5 OOFF			EXACTLY DESCRIBES ALL ME EXACTLY DESCRIBES ALL ME HE MEMBERS OF OTHER OF EXACTLY DESCRIBES ALL ME NG THE MEMBERS OF OTH
DOES NOT DESCRIBE ME AT ALL AN EVALUATE MYSELF FROM DOES NOT DESCRIBE ME AT ALL AN ADJUST MY DRESS AS AP DOES NOT DESCRIBE ME AT ALL AN ADJUST MY BEHAVIORS A ULTURES . DOES NOT DESCRIBE ME AT ALL					SPEC 5 OOFFI			EXACTLY DESCRIBES ALL ME EXACTLY DESCRIBES ALL ME HE MEMBERS OF OTHER OF EXACTLY DESCRIBES ALL ME NG THE MEMBERS OF OTH EXACTLY DESCRIBES ALL ME
DOES NOT DESCRIBE ME AT ALL AN EVALUATE MYSELF FROM DOES NOT DESCRIBE ME AT ALL AN ADJUST MY DRESS AS AP DOES NOT DESCRIBE ME AT ALL AN ADJUST MY BEHAVIORS A ULTURES. DOES NOT DESCRIBE ME AT ALL AN ACCEPT/TOLERATE PEOP								EXACTLY DESCRIBES ALL ME EXACTLY DESCRIBES ALL ME HE MEMBERS OF OTHER OF EXACTLY DESCRIBES ALL ME NG THE MEMBERS OF OTH EXACTLY DESCRIBES ALL ME I THEY PERFORM PRACTI
DOES NOT DESCRIBE ME AT ALL AN EVALUATE MYSELF FROM DOES NOT DESCRIBE ME AT ALL AN ADJUST MY DRESS AS AP DOES NOT DESCRIBE ME AT ALL AN ADJUST MY BEHAVIORS A ULTURES . DOES NOT DESCRIBE ME AT ALL AN ACCEPT/TOLERATE PEOP IGHT DISCOMFORT ME (E.G. D								EXACTLY DESCRIBES ALL ME EXACTLY DESCRIBES ALL ME HE MEMBERS OF OTHER OF EXACTLY DESCRIBES ALL ME NG THE MEMBERS OF OTH EXACTLY DESCRIBES ALL ME NG THE Y PERFORM PRACTI LIEFS, LIFESTYLES ETC.)
DOES NOT DESCRIBE ME AT ALL AN EVALUATE MYSELF FROM DOES NOT DESCRIBE ME AT ALL AN ADJUST MY DRESS AS AP DOES NOT DESCRIBE ME AT ALL AN ADJUST MY BEHAVIORS A ULTURES. DOES NOT DESCRIBE ME AT ALL AN ACCEPT/TOLERATE PEOP								EXACTLY DESCRIBES ALL ME EXACTLY DESCRIBES ALL ME HE MEMBERS OF OTHER OF EXACTLY DESCRIBES ALL ME NG THE MEMBERS OF OTH EXACTLY DESCRIBES ALL ME I THEY PERFORM PRACTI

	~	5	5	0	'	
DOES NOT DESCRIBE ME AT ALL						EXACTLY DESCRIBES

30. I can take one step back and evaluate my actions in intercultural interaction $\$.

	1	2	3	4	5	6	7
24							



Erasmus+			
DOES NOT DESCRIBE ME			EXACTLY DESCRIBES
ATALL			ALL ME

31. ICAN SUSPEND MY NEGATIVE JUDGEMENT AND PREJUDICES ABOUT OTHER CULTURES IN AN INTERCULTURAL INTERACTION.

	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	
DOES NOT DESCRIBE ME AT ALL								EXACTLY DESCRIBES ALL ME

32. CAN SUSPEND MY NEGATIVE JUDGEMENT AND PREJUDICES ABOUT MY CULTURE IN AN INTERCULTURAL INTERACTION.

	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	
DOES NOT DESCRIBE ME AT ALL								EXACTLY DESCRIBES

33. ICAN MAKE JUDGEMENTS ABOUT OTHER CULTURES WITHOUT RELYING ON MY OWN CULTUREVALUES AND STANDARDS.

	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	
DOES NOT DESCRIBE ME AT ALL								EXACTLY DESCRIBES

34. CAN PUT ASIDE STEREOTYPES ABOUT OTHER CULTURES IN AN INTERCULTURAL INTERACTION

	I	2	3	4	5	6	/	
DOES NOT DESCRIBE ME								EXACTLY DESCRIBES
ATALL								ALLME

35. I CAN PUT ASIDE STEREOTYPES ABOUT MY CULTURE IN AN INTERCULTURAL INTERACTION.

	2	3	4	5	6	- 7	
DOES NOT DESCRIBE ME							EXACTLY DESCRIBES
ATALL							ALL ME

36.1 CAN IDENTIFY AND USE MATERIALS THAT CULTURALLY NAPPROPRIATE FOR THE LEARNERS

		~	5	-	5	U	
DOES NOT DESCRIBE N AT ALL	ИЕ						EXACTLY DESCRIBES

37. I RESOLVE INTERCULTURAL DIFFICULTIES AND PROMOTE INCLUSIVITY

		2	3	4	5	6	7	
DOES NOT DESCRIBE AT ALL	ME	1						EXACTLY DESCRIBES

38. I CAN ASSIST LEARNERS TO UNDERSTAND AND COMPARE CULTURAL DIFFERENCES (BEHAVIORS, TRADITIONS, MANNERS, ETC.) WITH APPROPRIATE MATERIALS AND ACTIVITIES.

	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	
DOES NOT DESCRIBE ME								EXACTLY DESCRIBES
ATALL								ALL ME

39. I CAN FORESEE AND HANDLE EFFECTIVELY EMERGING AREAS OF INTERCULTURAL

DISAGREEMENT AND INCIDENTS	2	3	4	5	6	7	
DOES NOT DESCRIBE ME							EXACTLY DESCRIBES ALL ME



40. I CAN USE WEB SOURCES TO EXPAND MY OWN UNDERSTANDING OF INTERCULTURAL ISSUES

	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	
DOES NOT DESCRIBE AT ALL	ME							EXACTLY DESCRIBES